ARIC Manuscript Proposal #1984

PC Reviewed: 8/14/12	Status: A	Priority: 2
SC Reviewed:	Status:	Priority:

- **1.a. Full Title**: Impact of shifting population distributions of blood pressure on rates of coronary heart disease, heart failure and stroke: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study
 - b. Abbreviated Title (Length 26 characters): Shifting CVD risk factors

2. Writing Group:

Shakia Hardy

Christy Avery

Gerardo Heiss

Aaron Folsom

Laura Loehr

Kunihiro Matsushita

Patricia Chang

Kenneth Butler

Richard MacLehose

I, the first author, confirm that all the coauthors have given their approval for this manuscript proposal. _SH_ [please confirm with your initials electronically or in writing]

First author: Shakia Hardy

Address: University of North Carolina Department of Epidemiology

137 E. Franklin St., Suite 306 CB #8050

Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Phone: 919/966-1933 Fax: 919/966-9800

E-mail: sthardy@live.unc.edu

ARIC author to be contacted if there are questions about the manuscript and the first author does not respond or cannot be located (this must be an ARIC investigator).

Name: Christy Avery

Address: University of North Carolina Department of Epidemiology

137 E. Franklin St., Suite 306 CB #8050

Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Phone: 919/966-4312 Fax: 919/966-9800

E-mail: christy avery@unc.edu

3. Timeline:

Analyses will begin once the manuscript proposal is approved.

4. Rationale:

Rates of numerous cardiovascular diseases (CVD) including cerebral vascular disease, renal failure, heart failure (HF), and coronary heart disease (CHD), increase progressively as blood pressure rises. ¹⁻⁶ Among blood pressure related deaths, estimates suggest that approximately one third of the excess CHD and all-cause mortality can be attributed to systolic blood pressure designated as non-hypertensive. ^{7,8} Research also has consistently demonstrated that the association between blood pressure and vascular events is linear on a semi-log scale and shows no evidence of a threshold, indicating that benefits achieved from decreases in blood pressure are not limited to individuals with clinical hypertension. ⁹⁻¹³ Together, these studies support a population wide blood pressure reduction approach to decreasing the burden of chronic vascular diseases.

Several authors have estimated the theoretical effects of shifting the distribution of blood pressure, either through reducing the population mean or by decreasing the proportion of the population in the highest risk categories. For example, Framingham Heart Study investigators found that a 2-mmHg reduction in the population average of diastolic blood pressure would result in an estimated 17% decrease in the prevalence of hypertension, and a 6% reduction in the risk of coronary heart disease. However, many of studies investigating the influence of population shifts of blood pressure on CVD examined CHD and stroke events, largely in only populations of European descent. The degree to which modest decrements in blood pressure may affect the incidence of heart failure remains unknown. Additionally, few reports have examined the effects of blood pressure shifts in African American populations, who shoulder a higher burden of hypertension and experience high rates of CHD and heart failure. However, 11, 14, 17

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

We propose to estimate the number of incident heart failure events observed in the ARIC population that may be prevented by 2 mm Hg reductions in systolic blood pressure (SBP), consistent with what could theoretically be achieved through population level lifestyle interventions.

1) Estimate the predicted reductions of incident heart failure from counterfactual distributions of SBP, by race and sex.

We propose to estimate the number of incident myocardial infarction observed in the ARIC population that may be prevented by reductions of 2 mm Hg in SBP, consistent with what could theoretically be achieved through population level lifestyle interventions.

2) Estimate the predicted reductions of incident myocardial infarction from counterfactual distributions of SBP, by race and sex.

We propose to estimate the number of incident stroke observed in the ARIC population that may be prevented by reductions of 2 mm Hg in SBP, consistent with what could theoretically be achieved through population level lifestyle interventions.

- 3) Estimate the predicted reductions of incident myocardial infarction from counterfactual distributions of SBP, by race and sex.
- 6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodological limitations or challenges if present).

ARIC COHORT:

Exclusions: For heart failure, participants with prevalent HF at baseline will be excluded (i.e. those who self-reported having medications for treatment of HF, those with stage 3 HF defined by the Gothenburg criteria, and those hospitalized for heart failure between visits 1 and 2). For CHD, individuals who at baseline had a positive history or missing data for prevalent CHD will be excluded. Participants with a self-reported prior stroke at baseline will be excluded for the stroke analysis. We will also exclude participants with self-reported race other than Caucasian or African American.

<u>Outcome definition:</u> Incident hospitalized HF will be identified by the first occurrence of hospital discharge diagnosis codes 428.X. Definite or probable incident CHD and stroke will be defined according to the ARIC event classification criteria. Follow-up time for each event begins on the date of the baseline examination.

<u>Main exposures:</u> First, we will examine the effect of shifting the population distribution of blood pressure, as measured continuously and previously described. ¹⁴ Specifically, we will calculate incidence rate differences by race and sex for 2 mmHg decrements in blood pressure. If differences by race and/or sex are not observed, we will collapse across categories.

Covariates: Age, sex, race, and medication use.

STATISTICAL METHODS

First, the race- and sex-specific incidence rates of incident HF, CHD, and stroke will be calculated in 10-year age categories. Next, a method based on a weighted least-squares regression approach that uses a robust standard error estimator¹⁸ will be used to estimate incidence rate differences (IRD) for incident stroke, CHD, and HF associated with continuous and categorical shifts in SBP and hypertension, respectively. For example, the effect of reducing the population distribution of SBP on incident CHD will be calculated by estimating a 2 mmHg incidence rate difference (i.e. the beta estimate for SBP will be multiplied by 2).

7.a.	Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript?	Yes	X_
No	•		

with a value RES_OTH = "CVD Research" for non-DNA analysis, and for DNA analysis RES_DNA = "CVD Research" would be used? Yes
No (This file ICTDER03 has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)
8.a. Will the DNA data be used in this manuscript? YesX_No
8.b. If yes, is the author aware that either DNA data distributed by the Coordinating Center must be used, or the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude those with value RES_DNA = "No use/storage DNA"? Yes No
9.The lead author of this manuscript proposal has reviewed the list of existing ARIC Study manuscript proposals and has found no overlap between this proposal and previously approved manuscript proposals either published or still in active status. ARIC Investigators have access to the publications lists under the Study Members Area of the web site at: http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php
X Yes No
10. What are the most related manuscript proposals in ARIC (authors are encouraged to contact lead authors of these proposals for comments on the new proposal or collaboration)?
This manuscript is related to #1570 (Avery, The heart failure population burden due to acquired risk factors: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study). This paper is now in press at <i>JACC</i> .
This manuscript is also related to #1475 (Rodriquez, Hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, and risk of incident hospitalized heart failure: The ARIC study). Drs. Chang, Loehr, and Folsom are members of the writing group and have approved of this proposal.
11. a. Is this manuscript proposal associated with any ARIC ancillary studies or use any ancillary study data? Yes _X_ No
11.b. If yes, is the proposal A. primarily the result of an ancillary study (list number*) B. primarily based on ARIC data with ancillary data playing a minor role (usually control variables; list number(s)*)
*ancillary studies are listed by number at http://www.cscc.unc.edu/aric/forms/
12. Manuscript preparation is expected to be completed in one to three years. If a manuscript is not submitted for ARIC review at the end of the 3-years from the date of the approval, the manuscript proposal will expire.

REFERENCES

- 1. Five-year findings of the hypertension detection and follow-up program. I. Reduction in mortality of persons with high blood pressure, including mild hypertension. Hypertension detection and follow-up program cooperative group. *JAMA*: the journal of the American Medical Association. 1979;242:2562-2571
- 2. Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, Adams RJ, Berry JD, Brown TM, Carnethon MR, Dai S, de Simone G, Ford ES, Fox CS, Fullerton HJ, Gillespie C, Greenlund KJ, Hailpern SM, Heit JA, Ho PM, Howard VJ, Kissela BM, Kittner SJ, Lackland DT, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Makuc DM, Marcus GM, Marelli A, Matchar DB, McDermott MM, Meigs JB, Moy CS, Mozaffarian D, Mussolino ME, Nichol G, Paynter NP, Rosamond WD, Sorlie PD, Stafford RS, Turan TN, Turner MB, Wong ND, Wylie-Rosett J. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2011 update / 1. About 1. About these statistics / 2. American heart association's 2020 impact goals / 3. Cardiovascular diseases / 4. Subclinical atherosclerosis / 5. Coronary heart disease, acute coronary syndrome, and angina pectoris / 6. Stroke (cerebrovascular disease) / 7. High blood pressure / 8. Congenital cardiovascular defects / 9. Cardiomyopathy and heart failure / 10. Other cardiovascular diseases / 11. Family history and genetics / 12. Risk factor: Smoking/tobacco use / 13. Risk factor: High blood cholesterol and other lipids / 14. Risk factor: Physical inactivity / 15. Risk factor: Overweight and obesity / 16. Risk factor: Diabetes mellitus / 17. End-stage renal disease and chronic kidney disease / 18. Metabolic syndrome / 19. Nutrition / 20. Quality of care / 21. Medical procedures / 22. Economic cost of cardiovascular disease / 23. At-a-glance summary tables / 24. Glossary. Circulation. 2011;123:e18e209
- 3. Kannel Wb WPAVJMPM. Epidemiologic assessment of the role of blood pressure in stroke: The framingham study. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1970;214:301-310
- 4. MacMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J, Collins R, Sorlie P, Neaton J, Abbott R, Godwin J, Dyer A, Stamler J. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 1, prolonged differences in blood pressure: Prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. *Lancet*. 1990;335:765-774
- 5. Klag MJ, Whelton PK, Randall BL, Neaton JD, Brancati FL, Ford CE, Shulman NB, Stamler J. Blood pressure and end-stage renal disease in men. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1996;334:13-18
- 6. Kannel WB, Castelli WP, McNamara PM, McKee PA, Feinleib M. Role of blood pressure in the development of congestive heart failure. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1972;287:781-787
- 7. Stamler J, Stamler R, Neaton JD. Blood pressure, systolic and diastolic, and cardiovascular risksus population data. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1993;153:598-615
- 8. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT, Roccella EJ, Committee tNHBPEPC. Seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. *Hypertension*. 2003;42:1206-1252
- 9. Erlinger TP, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Appel LJ. The potential impact of nonpharmacologic population-wide blood pressure reduction on coronary heart disease events: Pronounced benefits in african-americans and hypertensives. *Preventive Medicine*. 2003;37:327-333
- 10. Tobin MD, Sheehan NA, Scurrah KJ, Burton PR. Adjusting for treatment effects in studies of quantitative traits: Antihypertensive therapy and systolic blood pressure. *Statistics in Medicine*. 2005;24:2911-2935
- 11. Emberson J, Whincup P, Morris R, Walker M, Ebrahim S. Evaluating the impact of population and high-risk strategies for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *European Heart Journal*. 2004;25:484-491
- 12. Vasan RS, Larson MG, Leip EP, Evans JC, O'Donnell CJ, Kannel WB, Levy D. Impact of high-normal blood pressure on the risk of cardiovascular disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*.

- 2001;345:1291-1297
- 13. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: A meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *The Lancet*. 2002;360:1903-1913
- 14. Cook NR, Cohen J, Hebert PR, Taylor JO, Hennekens CH. Implications of small reductions in diastolic blood pressure for primary prevention. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1995;155:701-709
- 15. Laaser U, Breckenkamp J, Ullrich A, Hoffmann B. Can a decline in the population means of cardiovascular risk factors reduce the number of people at risk? *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2001;55:179-184
- 16. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Sacks FM, Bray GA, Vogt TM, Cutler JA, Windhauser MM, Lin P-H, Karanja N, Simons-Morton D, McCullough M, Swain J, Steele P, Evans MA, Miller ER, Harsha DW. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1997;336:1117-1124
- 17. Unal B, Critchley JA, Capewell S. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in england and wales between 1981 and 2000. *Circulation*. 2004;109:1101-1107
- 18. Xu Y, Cheung YB, Lam KF, Tan SH, Milligan P. A simple approach to the estimation of incidence rate difference. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2010;172:334-343